| 1           | TYRONE TOWNSHIP PLANNING COMMISSION  |  |  |
|-------------|--|--|--|
| 2           | REGULAR MEETING MINUTES  |  |  |
| 3           | April 17, 2019 6:00 p.m.   |  |  |
| 4           |  |  |  |
| 5<br>6<br>7 | PRESENT: Mark Meisel, Dave Wardin, Kurt Schulze, and Al Pool   |  |  |
| 8<br>9      | ABSENT: Bill Wood and Perry Green  |  |  |
| 10          | OTHERS PRESENT: Tyrone Township Planner Greg Elliott and Tyrone Township Planning &  |  |  |
| 11<br>12    | Zoning Administrator Ross Nicholson  |  |  |
| 13<br>14    | <b>CALL TO ORDER (6:08 pm):</b> The meeting was called to order by Chairman Mark Meisel.   |  |  |
| 15<br>16    | PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE (6:09 pm):  |  |  |
| 17<br>18    | CALL TO THE PUBLIC (6:10 pm):  |  |  |
| 19<br>20    | Chairman Meisel asked if there was anyone in attendance who had any public comments or questions for the Planning Commission.  |  |  |
| 21          |  |  |  |
| 22          | Sid Martin, representing the Shannon Glen Homeowners Association, stated that he has some  |  |  |
| 23<br>24    | concerns regarding the property south of the Shannon Glen site condominium (Parcel # 4704-32-100-010). He stated that he believes the owner of said parcel intends to develop the property and |  |  |
| 25          | potentially use the Shannon Glen private road system to provide access. He stated that the   |  |  |
| 26          | property was initially intended to be developed as a second phase to Shannon Glen (proposed as   |  |  |
| 27          | Shannon Ridge), but the application was denied by the Township. He stated that his primary   |  |  |
| 28<br>29    | concern with the development of the parcel is the extension of their existing private road system. He cited from the Tyrone Township Zoning Ordinance that private roads cannot exceed 1,200   |  |  |
| 30          | feet in length and could only serve a specific number of units. He asked the Planning  |  |  |
| 31          | Commission how they would treat the application if/when the property owner submits an  |  |  |
| 32          | application to develop the parcel and if they had any additional thoughts, comments, or  |  |  |
| 33          | suggestions. Chairman Meisel explained that there are many factors that must be considered   |  |  |
| 34          | when reviewing subdivision and site condominium developments so there is no way to provide a   |  |  |
| 35          | valid opinion on the scenario without seeing some kind of plans depicting a proposed layout. He  |  |  |
| 36          | stated that the Planning Commission would be able to comment if they receive an application.   |  |  |
| 37          | He also explained the public hearing notice requirements and indicated that Mr. Martin would   |  |  |
| 38          | receive a notice of the hearing by mail if his property is within three hundred (300) feet of the  |  |  |
| 39          | subject property. He also indicated that a notice would be published in the Tri County Times and   |  |  |
| 40          | posted at the Township Hall/website at least fifteen (15) days prior to the hearing.   |  |  |
| 41          |  |  |  |
| 42          | APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA (7:04 pm):  |  |  |
| 43          |  |  |  |
| 44          | Kurt Schulze made a motion to approve the agenda as presented. Dave Wardin supported the   |  |  |

motion. Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

45

Dave Wardin made a motion to suspend the order of business to place New Business Item #1 (Gruber Land Division) ahead of all other business items in consideration of the applicant in attendance. Al Pool supported the motion. Motion carried by unanimous voice vote

## **APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES (6:23 pm):**

Deferred (no minutes available).

## **NEW BUSINESS #1 (6:23 pm): Gruber Land Division:**

Greg Elliott introduced the topic with a summary of the land division application before reading through the McKenna review letter he had prepared. Chairman Meisel brought up the application documents and Zoning Ordinance on the overhead monitors. Greg Elliott stated that one of the requirements that had not been fulfilled is the documentation pertaining to the proposed shared private driveway that would provide access to two proposed parcels. He noted that there was only an example shared private driveway on the drawing where the Zoning Ordinance requires additional details including dimensions, legal description(s), cross-section drawings, and a maintenance agreement in recordable form, along with Livingston County Road Commission location approval.

The Planning Commission briefly discussed the application, primarily the site area for proposed Parcel 1. Proposed Parcel 1 would fall shy of the minimum parcel size requirement for the R-1 zoning district once the submerged land (part of Marl Lake) is subtracted from the calculation. The Planning Commission briefly discussed the parcel size issue. It was determined that the applicant would need to apply for a variance through the Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) for a reduction in the minimum required parcel area, make revisions to the drawings and legal descriptions, and submit additional documentation pertaining to the shared private driveway. The variance will need to be granted in order for the land division to be considered for approval as proposed (specifically the proposed configuration of Parcel 1).

Dave Wardin made a motion to table the Gruber Land Division application pending a ZBA variance for a reduction in site area for proposed Parcel 1, revisions to the drawings and legal descriptions (as requested), and documents pertaining to the proposed shared private driveway. Kurt Schulze supported the motion. Motion carried by unanimous voice vote.

*The item was closed at 7:43 pm.* 

## OLD BUSINESS # 2 (7:47 pm): Animal Units Discussion

Dave Wardin provided a copy of the latest draft he had prepared on the topic of keeping of animals for private use (currently "Animal Units"- Section 21.28.E, Tyrone Township Zoning Ordinance #36). He read through the draft and indicated which areas had been changed since the last discussion. The Planning Commission briefly discussed next steps, which includes a review by Greg to potentially reorganize the text for better flow.

The item was closed at 8:01 pm.

| 92 |                 |                 |                     |
|----|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| 93 | OLD BUSINESS #1 | (8:02 pm): Sign | <b>Regulations:</b> |

Chairman Meisel briefly reminded the Planning Commission where the discussion had left off on the proposed sign amendments. He stated that the last remaining item that will need to be discussed, prior to recommending adoption, is the violation and fine structure. It was suggested the McKenna memo detailing the current civil infraction process be forwarded to the Township Board as a recommended review action.

The item was closed at 8:04 pm.

## MISCELLANIOUS BUSINESS (8:04 pm): Bentley Sand and Gravel Consent Agreement

Chairman Meisel and Dave Wardin briefly discussed conditions for a consent judgement that had been determined in a subcommittee meeting prior to the regular Planning Commission meeting with representatives from Bentley Sand and Gravel.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:06 pm by Chairman Meisel.